that compound 59b consisted of $54 \%$ cis isomer and $46 \%$ trans isomer; MS, $m / e 190\left(\mathbf{M}^{+}\right)$.
cis-3-Amino-1-benzyl-2-methylpyrrolidine (cis-59b). To a suspension of fumaric acid ( $48.8 \mathrm{~g}, 421 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 260 mL of water was added compound 59 b ( 80 g , cis $54 \%$ ) with stirring at $20-30$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The suspension at once became clear, and colorless crystals precipitated. The mixture was further stirred in an ice bath for 1 h and the salt that precipitated was collected by filtration. Recrystallization of this salt from water gave $49 \mathrm{~g}(27 \%)$ of cis-59b difumarate hemihydrate, mp 185-186 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. [ $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right] \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$. The salt was basified with aqueous sodium hydroxide, and the oil that liberated was extracted with ether. The extract was dried and condensed. The residual liquid was distilled to give 21 g of colorless liquid cis-59b: bp 102-103 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.4 \mathrm{~mm})$; $\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.3(\mathrm{~s}, 5), 3.9(\mathrm{~d}, 1), 3.2(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 3.1$ (d, 1), 2.8 (m, 1), 2.3 (s, 1), $2.0(\mathrm{~m}, 2), 1.5(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 1.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, $1.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{MS}, m / e 190\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
trans-3-Amino-1-benzyl-2-methylpyrrolidine (trans-59b). A trans-rich 59b component (trans $75 \%$, by GC) was obtained from the first filtrate of the fumarate formation method mentioned for cis-59b by basification and extraction with ether, followed by distillation, bp $102-103^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.4 \mathrm{~mm})$. This 59b component ( $19 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of maleic acid ( $17.5 \mathrm{~g}, 150 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 190 mL of ethanol, and the mixture was allowed to stand overnight at room temperature. The crystals of the salt that separated were recrystallized at first from $50 \%$ aqueous ethanol and an additional two times from water to afford $8.4 \mathrm{~g}(20 \%)$ of trans-59b dimaleate, $\mathrm{mp} 169-170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left[\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$. The salt was basified with aqueous sodium hydroxide. The free base liberated was extracted with ether, the extract was dried, and the solvent was evaporated. The residual liquid was distilled to give 3.4 g of a colorless liquid of trans-59b: bp $102-103{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.4 \mathrm{~mm})$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.3(\mathrm{~s}, 5)$, 3.9 (d, 1), 3.2 (d, 1), 2.9 (m, 2), 2.2 (m, 3), 1.4 (m, 1), $1.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$ ), 1.2 (t, 3, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); MS, m/e $190\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

Pharmacology. Inhibition of Apomorphine-Induced Stereotyped Behavior in Rats. The method of Janssen et al. ${ }^{11}$
was used with a slight modification. The rats were observed in individual cages ( $21 \times 21 \times 17 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) with clear plastic walls. A dose of $1.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of apomorphine was injected intravenously into the rats 30 min after subcutaneous administration of test drugs. Inhibitory effects of the drugs on stereotyped behavior were judged to be positive unless both gnawing and licking behaviors were observed for the period of 20 min after apomorphine injection. $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$, the dose to inhibit induction of the stereotypy in $50 \%$ of the rats, was estimated according to either the method of Litchfield and Wilcoxon ${ }^{12}$ or the graphical method using 6 to 12 rats at each dose.

Continuous Avoidance Response in Rats. The continuous avoidance response was designed according to the Sidman avoidance schedule with a slight modification. The shock-shock and response-shock intervals were 5 and 25 s , respectively. The rats which received less than 19 shocks per hour were used for further experiments. The inhibitory effect of the test drugs was expressed as the dose to increase the number of electroshocks by 60 ( 1 shock $/ \mathrm{min}$ ) between 1 and 2 h after the subcutaneous administration.

Catalepsy in Rats. Two front paws of rats were placed on a horizontal wooden bar at 7 cm from the floor 30 min after subcutaneous administration of test drugs. The $\mathrm{ED}_{60}$, the dose to induce catalepsy in $50 \%$ of the rats, was estimated according to the method of Litchfield and Wilcoxon ${ }^{12}$ using six to eight rats at each dose.

Acknowledgment. The authors express their appreciation for the X-ray analysis of T. Furuya, for the NMR analysis of H . Kaniwa, for the GC analysis of M. Shimizu, and for the excellent synthetic assistance of A. Yamazaki.
(11) P. A. J. Janssen, C. J. E. Niemegeers, and K. H. L. Schellekens, Arzneim.-Forsch., 15, 104 (1965).
(12) J. T. Litchfield and F. Wilcoxon, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 96, 99 (1945).

## A New Nontricyclic Antidepressant Agent. Synthesis and Activity of $\boldsymbol{N}$-[trans-2-(Dimethylamino)cyclopentyl]- $\boldsymbol{N}$-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)propanamide and Related Compounds

J. Szmuszkovicz,* P. F. VonVoigtlander, and M. P. Kane<br>Research Laboratories, The Upjohn Company, Kalamazoo, Michigan 49001. Received May 11, 1981

A series of new nontricyclic antidepressant compounds was synthesized. A representative of this class is compound 6. Five structural parameters were investigated: ring size, cis/trans stereochemistry, amide substitution, aromatic substitution, and amine substitution. The pharmacological tests employed, indicative of antidepressant activity, were yohimbine potentiation test, oxotremorine antagonism test, and apomorphine potentiation test. Structure-activity relationship is discussed.

Tricyclic antidepressants, such as imipramine (1), are frequently used in the treatment of endogeneous depression. An induction period of several days or longer before any improvement is noted, frequent side effects, and the necessity for chronic dosing are shortcomings of this program of therapy. ${ }^{1}$ Hence, there is a need for drugs with


1
(1) (a) S. Fielding and H. Lal, Eds., "Industrial Pharmacology", Vol 2, Futura, Mount Kisco, NY, 1975; (b) C. Kaiser and P. E. Setler in "Burger's Medicinal Chemistry", 4th ed, Part III, M. E. Wolff, Ed., Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1981, p 997.

Scheme I

faster onset of action and fewer side effects. We report here some of the results of our search for new antidepressant agents.

## Scheme II <br> II




A few years ago we became interested in the potential central nervous system (CNS) activity of compounds in the cycloalkane-1,2-diamine class. Our interest was derived, in a very broad sense, from the morphine model which has been successful in the past in the generation of CNS leads. During this research, we serendipitously discovered that some of the compounds had potent antide-pressant-like activity.

Synthesis. In the course of this investigation, a large number of analogues were prepared. Since the procedures utilized did not vary significantly, only the syntheses of a few representative entries in this series are presented.

Reaction of cyclopentene oxide (2) with aqueous dimethylamine gave the trans-amino alcohol 3 (Scheme I). Treatment of the anion of 3 with methanesulfonyl chloride produced the mesylate 4. Displacement with 3,4 -dichloroaniline proceeded through the intermediacy of an aziridinium ion $^{2}$ to provide trans-diamine 5. Heating the diamine with propionic anhydride afforded trans-amide 6. Other representatives of this series were obtained by acylation of the diamines with the appropriate acyl halide. Formamides were produced by heating with formic acid.

The presence of a second reactive center necessitated another procedure for derivatives containing secondary amines. Reaction of cyclopentene oxide with 3,4 -dichloroaniline afforded trans-amino alcohol 7 (Scheme II). Chlorosulfonation and subsequent displacement with aqueous methylamine gave trans-diamine 9. Protection with 2,2,2-trichloroethyl chloroformate led to compound 10, which was heated with propionic anhydride to give the protected amide 11. Deprotection with zinc in metha-nol-acetic acid produced trans-amide 12.

[^0]
## Scheme III



A third procedure was utilized to prepare cis analogues. Heating amino alcohol 7 with propionic anhydride, followed by selective hydrolysis with ethanolic potassium hydroxide, provided hydroxy amide 13 (Scheme III). Jones oxidation, followed by reductive amination with dimethylamine and sodium cyanoborohydride, gave cisamide 15.

The stereochemistry of the cis-amide 15 was assigned based on the fact that it was isomeric and different from the trans-amide 6. The stereochemistry of amide 6 was assigned based on its mode of formation.

## Results and Discussion

Five parameters of the basic molecular structure were modified during this investigation: ring size, cis/trans stereochemistry, amide substitution, aromatic substitution, and amine substitution. The data in Tables I-V are arranged to show the effect on activity of changing a single parameter.

The effect of ring size on activity is demonstrated in Table I. In six cases of direct comparison, compounds with five-membered rings were more active than the corresponding six-membered analogues. In a comparison between five-, six-, seven-, and eight-membered rings, the five-membered analogue was the most active in the series, followed by the seven-, eight-, and six-membered analogues.

The effect of cis/trans stereochemistry on activity is shown in Table IF. In both cases investigated in the five-membered ring series, the trans analogue showed better response than the cis analogue.

The results of modifying amide substitution are presented in Table III. In two series, one containing an unsubstituted benzene ring and the other containing 3,4dichloro substitution, amide functionality was varied in the sequence of hydrogen, methyl, ethyl, and propyl. In both series, activity showed a maximum with the ethyl homologue. Further variation in the later series showed that aromatic amides were generally less active than aliphatic amides. Cyclopropyl substitution was found to be especially effective.

The parameter having the most effect on activity was found to be the pattern of aromatic substitution. These results are shown in Table IV. Meta substitution was generally found to be more effective than ortho or para substitution. In this regard, meta halo or trifluoromethyl substituents were the most effective. An additional para halo substituent was found to further enhance activity. This effect was especially noted by the 3,4-dichloro or 3,4-dibromo analogues.

Of the parameters investigated, amine substitution was the least definitive. As shown by Table V, a large variety of amines produced highly active compounds, with the diethyl analogue beng the most active. This center is

Table I. Antidepressant Agents: Effect of Ring Size on Activity

| compd | $n$ | X | mp, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | recrystn solvent |  <br> formula | anal. | $E D_{\text {so }},{ }^{e} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | yohimbine potentiation | oxotremorine antagonism | apomorphine potentiation |
| 16 | 1 | H | $\begin{array}{r} 115- \\ 116 \end{array}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{MeOH}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot{ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{a}$ | C, H, N, S | 3 | 2 | 0.8 |
| 17 | 2 | H | $\begin{gathered} 51- \\ 53 \end{gathered}$ | pet. ether | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N | $>50$ | $>50$ | $>50$ |
| 18 | 1 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75- \\ 76 \end{gathered}$ | pet. ether | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ | 3 | 0.2 | $>50$ |
| 19 | 2 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84- \\ 85 \end{gathered}$ | pet. ether | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ | 35 | 17 | 14 |
| 20 | 1 | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 146- \\ 148 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MeOH}- \\ & \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} . \\ & \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{20} \end{aligned}$ | C, H, N, S | 30 | 20 | $>50$ |
| 21 | 2 | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 154- \\ 156 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MeOH}^{2}- \\ & \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot \\ \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | C, H, N, S | $>50$ | $>50$ | $>50$ |
| 22 | 1 | $3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 139- \\ 140 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MeOH}^{2}- \\ & \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{c} \end{aligned}$ | C, H, N | 3 | 1 | 25 |
| 23 | 2 | $3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120- \\ 121 \end{array}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{MeO}^{2}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{-} \\ \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{d} \end{gathered}$ | C, H, N | $>50$ | 15 | $>50$ |
| 24 | 1 | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 167- \\ 168 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MeOH}^{2}- \\ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{b} \end{aligned}$ | C, H, N, S | 30 | 10 | 10 |
| 25 | 2 | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98- \\ 100 \end{gathered}$ | pet. ether | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N | $>50$ | $>50$ | $>50$ |
| 6 | 1 | $3,4-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 154- \\ 155 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MeOH}^{-} \\ & \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ | 0.4 | 0.2 | 10 |
| 26 | 2 | $3,4-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 185- \\ 187 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MeOH}^{2}- \\ & \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}^{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \end{gathered}$ | C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ | 30 | 20 | 20 |
| 27 | 3 | $3,4-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 165- \\ 166 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MeOH}_{2} \mathrm{O}- \\ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ | 2 | 6 | 20 |
| $28$ | 4 | $3,4-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110- \\ 112 \end{array}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{MeOH}-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}^{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{d} \end{aligned}$ | C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ | 20 | 10 | 6 |

${ }^{a} p$-Toluenesulfonic acid salt. ${ }^{b}$ Naphthalenesulfonic acid salt. ${ }^{c}$ Oxalic acid salt. ${ }^{d}$ Maleic acid salt. ${ }^{e}$ The upper and lower $95 \%$ confidence intervals were not more than 2 and 0.5 times the $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$, respectively.

Table II. Antidepressant Agents: Effect of Stereochemistry on Activity

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{D}_{50},{ }^{d} \mathrm{mg}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| compd | $\begin{gathered} \text { cis/ } \\ \text { trans } \end{gathered}$ | X | $\mathrm{mp},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | recrystn solvent | formula | anal. | yohimbine potentiation | oxotremorine antag. onism | apomor. phine potentiation |
| 16 | trans | H | $\begin{array}{r} 115- \\ 116 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MeOH}^{\mathrm{Et}}{ }_{2}- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{a} \end{aligned}$ | C, H, N, S | 3 | 2 | 0.8 |
| 29 | cis | H | $169-$ | $\mathrm{MeOH}-$ $\mathrm{Et} \mathbf{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ <br> $\mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ | 10 | $>50$ | $>50$ |
| 6 | trans | $3,4-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 154- \\ 155 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MeOH}_{2} \\ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{5} \end{aligned}$ | C, H, Cl, N | 0.4 | 0.2 | 10 |
|  | cis | $3,4-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 171- \\ 173 \end{array}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{O}-}{\mathrm{MeO}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}^{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | C, H, Cl, N | 0.9 | 2 | $>50$ |
| imipramine hydrochloride |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1 | 1 |

${ }^{a} p$-Toluenesulfonic acid salt. ${ }^{b}$ Maleic acid salt. ${ }^{c}$ Oxalic acid salt. ${ }^{d}$ The upper and lower $95 \%$ confidence intervals were not more than 2 and 0.5 times the $\mathrm{ED}_{\text {so }}$, respectively.
apparently the least sensitive to change. This is further demonstrated by the observation that some activity remains when the amine moiety is replaced by a hydroxyl group.
In summary, the most active compounds are those which contain a five-membered ring, have trans stereochemistry,
contain an ethyl or cyclopropyl amide, and have meta halo or trifluoromethyl aromatic substitution. A variety of amine substituents are effective.

## Experimental Section

Chemistry. Melting points and boiling points are uncorrected.

Table III. Antidepressant Agents: Effect of Amide Substitution on Activity


${ }^{a}$ Oxalic acid salt. ${ }^{b} p$-Toluenesulfonic acid salt. ${ }^{c}$ Naphthalenesulfonic acid salt. ${ }^{d}$ Maleic acid salt. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{Cl}$ : calcd, 31.50; found, 32.96. $f \mathrm{C}$ : calcd, 54.77 ; found, 54.28 . ${ }^{g}$ The upper and lower confidence intervals were not more than 2 and 0.5 times the $E D_{s o}$, respectively.

Melting points were obtained on a Thomas-Hoover capillary melting point apparatus. NMR spectra were determined on a Varian A-60D or XL-100 instrument. The authors are indebted to Physical and Analytical Chemistry Research of the Upjohn Co. for elemental analyses and other analytical services. The procedures described below represent general methods of synthesis employed during this investigation. Other analogues exhibited similar NMR, IR, UV, and mass spectra. Only final products are listed in Tables I-V. ${ }^{3}$. All compounds listed, unless indicated otherwise, gave elemental analyses with $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the calculated values. Infrared spectra (recorded in reciprocal centimeters) were determined in Nujol.
trans-2-(Dimethylamino)cyclopentanol (3). A solution of cyclopentene oxide ( $188 \mathrm{~g}, 2.24 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $40 \%$ dimethylamine ( 750 $\mathrm{mL}, 6.67 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was stirred overnight without cooling. The mixture was diluted with saturated $\mathrm{NaCl}(750 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted several times with ether. The extract was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The residual oil was distilled to give $3(276 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ yield): $\mathrm{bp} 98-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~mm})$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2-3(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.9-4.3$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.3-2.6 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $2.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.2-2.0$ (m, 6 H , ring $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); IR $3370,3200(\mathrm{OH}), 2780(\mathrm{~N}$-alkyl), $1045(\mathrm{CO})$
(3) For additional experimental details, refer to J. Szmuszkovicz, U.S. Patent 4204003,1980 ; ibid., 4148913,1979 ; ibid., 4156014,1979 ; ibid., 4156015,1979 ; ibid., 4157398,1979 ; ibid., 4159 340, 1979.
$\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{EtOH}) \lambda_{\max }$ end absorption; mass spectrum, $m / e 129$. An analysis was determined on the fumaric acid salt, mp 149-150 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
trans- $N, N$-Dimethyl- $N^{\prime}$ (3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,2-cyclopentanediamine (5). A mixture of 3 ( $32.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $57 \%$ $\mathrm{NaH}(10.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mol})$ in THF ( 100 mL ) was refluxed for 1 h . The mixture was cooled in ice, and methanesulfonyl chloride ( 28.6 $\mathrm{g}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added dropwise in 30 min . When the addition was complete, 3,4 -dichloroaniline ( $81.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added in one portion. The solvent was removed by distillation, and the residue was heated on a steam bath for 17 h . The mixture was treated with $20 \% \mathrm{NaOH}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heating was continued for 1 h . The mixture was extracted with ether and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Evaporation and distillation gave 5 ( $36.2 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%$ yield): bp 160-170 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.3 \mathrm{~mm})$. The maleic acid salt showed mp 128-129 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.1(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), $6.7(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), $6.5(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), $6.1(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, vinyl), $3.8(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.35$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.75 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.2-2.3 ( $\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, ring $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); IR $3380(\mathrm{NH}), 2600,2520,2400\left(\mathrm{NH}^{+}\right.$acid OH$), 1600,1580,1480$ ( $\mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-} / \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ ), 1430, 1350, 1330, 985, 870, $865 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV (EtOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }} 210 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 45450), 257(19400), 310$ (2300); mass spectrum, $m / e ~ 272,274,276$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[trans-2-(Dimethylamino) cyclopentyl]-N-(3,4-dichlorophenyl) propanamide (6). Method A. A solution of 5 $(2.73 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in propionic anhydride ( 10 mL ) was heated on a steam bath overnight. Water ( 100 mL ) was added and heating was continued for 1 h to decompose excess anhydride.

Table IV. Antidepressant Agents: Effect of Aromatic Substitution on Activity


| compd | X | mp, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | recrystn solvent | formula | anal. | $\mathrm{ED}_{50},{ }^{h} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | yohim- <br> bine <br> poten- <br> tiation | oxotrem- <br> orine <br> antag- <br> onism | ```apomor- phine poten- tiation``` |
| 16 | H | $\begin{array}{r} 115- \\ 116 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{MeOH} \\ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \\ & \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{a} \end{aligned}$ | C, H, N, S | 3 | 2 | 0.8 |
| 43 | $2-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 129- \\ 130 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{MeOH}-$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{3} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{HOO}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | C, H, N | 7 | 5 | $>50$ |
| 44 | $3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 139- \\ 140 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{MeOH}-$ Et, O | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | C, H, N | 3 | 1 | 25 |
| 45 | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 167- \\ 168 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{MeOH}-$ $\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{c} \end{aligned}$ | C, H, N, S | 30 | 10 | 10 |
| 46 | $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 135- \\ 136 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{MeOH}-$ ether | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{O}^{2} .5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{b} \end{aligned}$ | C, H, N | 0.8 | 4 | 4 |
| 47 | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 146- \\ 148 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{MeOH}-$ ether | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{\circ}$ | C, H, N, S | 30 | 20 | $>50$ |
| 48 | 3,4-( $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 155- \\ 156 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{MeOH}-$ ether | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}^{3} . \\ \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} d^{3} \end{gathered}$ | C, H, N | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| 49 | 3-F | $\begin{gathered} 85- \\ 88 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{EtOH}^{2}- \\ & \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O} \cdot \\ \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | C, H, F, N | 0.4 | 0.6 | 5 |
| 50 | 4-F | $\begin{gathered} 154- \\ 155 \end{gathered}$ | MeOH$\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ | C, H, F, N, S | 5 | 0.08 | $>50$ |
| 51 | 2,3,4,5,6-F ${ }_{5}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 152- \\ 153 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{MeOH}-$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{~N}^{3} \mathrm{O} . \\ & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} b^{5} \end{aligned}$ | C, H, F, N | $>50$ | $>50$ | $>50$ |
| 52 | $2-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 156- \\ 157 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MeOH}_{\mathrm{Et}}^{2} \mathrm{O} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}- \\ & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{5} \end{aligned}$ | C, H, Dl, N | 6 | 2 | $>50$ |
| 53 | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 152- \\ 153 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{MeOH}-$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{3} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | C, H, Cl, N | 0.4 | 0.2 | 20 |
| 18 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75- \\ 76 \end{gathered}$ | pet. ether | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, Cl, N | 3 | 0.2 | $>50$ |
| 6 | $3,4-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 154- \\ & 155 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MeOH}- \\ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} e^{2} \end{aligned}$ | C, H, Cl, N | 0.4 | 0.2 | 10 |
| 54 | $3-\mathrm{Cl}, 4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 122- \\ 123 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MeOH}_{-1} \\ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{O}_{5}{ }^{-} \end{aligned}$ | C, H, Cl, N | 1 | 0.6 | 2 |
| 55 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 131- \\ 132 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{MeOH}-$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \end{aligned}$ | C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| 56 | 3-Cl, 4-F | $\begin{array}{r} 152- \\ 153 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MeOH}- \\ & \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClFN}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | C, H, Cl, F, N | 0.2 | 2 | 0.7 |
| 57 | $3,5-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 129- \\ 130 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MeOH}_{-} \\ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} e \end{aligned}$ | C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ | 0.9 | 5 | 30 |
| 58 | 3,4,5-Cl ${ }_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 145- \\ 146 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{MeOH}-$ <br> $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} .$ | C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ | 4 | 40 | 40 |
| 59 | $3-\mathrm{Br}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 167- \\ 168 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MeOH}_{-} \\ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrN}^{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{b^{\circ}} \end{aligned}$ | C, H, Br, N | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2 |
| 60 | $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78- \\ 79 \end{gathered}$ | pet. ether | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, Br, N | 2 | 3 | $>50$ |
| 61 | 3,4-Br ${ }_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 146- \\ 147 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MeOH}- \\ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \end{aligned}$ | C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{N}$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| 62 | $3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 135- \\ 136 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{MeOH}-$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \end{aligned}$ | C, H, N | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.9 |
| 63 | $4-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 184- \\ 185 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MeOH}_{-} \\ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} . \\ \mathrm{HCl} \end{gathered}$ | C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ | 10 | 25 | 10 |
| 64 | $4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93- \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{MeOH}-$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{b} \end{aligned}$ | C, H, N | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| 65 | $4-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 139- \\ 140 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MeOH}_{-} \\ & \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2}$ | C, H, N, S | $>50$ | $>50$ | $>50$ |
| 66 | 4-CN | $\begin{gathered} 146- \\ 147 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MeOH}^{\mathrm{Et}} \mathbf{-} \\ \mathrm{Et}_{2}- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{-} \cdot \\ & \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} e \end{aligned}$ | C, H, N | 10 | 40 | >50 |
| 67 | $4-\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82- \\ 84 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{EtOH}_{2} \mathrm{H}- \\ & \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \\ \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{b^{4}} \end{gathered}$ | C, H, $\mathrm{N}^{f}$ | $>50$ | 40 | $>50$ |

[^1]Table V. Antidepressant Agents: Effect of Amine Substitution on Activity

| compd | NRR' |  | recrystn solvent | formula | anal. | $\mathrm{ED}_{50},{ }^{\text {g }} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mp, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  | yohimbine potentiation | oxotremorine antagonism | apomorphine potentiation |
| 68 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 179-180 | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | C, H, Cl, N, S | 0.02 | 0.8 |  |
| 12 | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ | 176-177 | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | C, H, Cl, N, S | 0.4 | 0.3 |  |
| 69 | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 162-163 | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathbf{C , H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ | 0.2 | 2 |  |
| 70 | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 171-172 | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | C, H, Cl, N | 0.2 | 3 |  |
| 6 | $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 154-155 | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathbf{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ | 0.4 | 0.2 | 10 |
| 71 | $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 148-149 | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{3} e$ | C, H, Cl, N, S | 0.1 | 0.03 | 0.3 |
| 72 | $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 58-59 | pet. ether | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathbf{C , H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ | 2 | 0.1 | $>50$ |
| 73 | $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | 107 | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ | 0.6 | 2 | 3 |
| 74 | $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 104-106 | $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | C, H, Cl, N | 0.2 | 0.2 | 6 |
| 75 | $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | 120-121 | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ | 0.2 | 40 | $>50$ |
| 76 | $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | 90-91 | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathbf{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ | 0.1 | 0.4 | 5 |
| 77 | $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 165-166 | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathbf{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ | 0.8 | 5 | 20 |
| $78$ | $\left.\underset{\mathrm{OH}}{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 259-260 | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, Cl, N | 4 | 10 | 30 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & \text { imipr: } \end{aligned}$ | OH ne hydrochloride | 105 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-pet. ether | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ | 0.4 4 | 9 1 | 20 1 |

(m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.95 (s, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.0 (q, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ), 1.1-1.9 ( $\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, ring $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $0.9\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right.$ ); IR $2720,2530,2490$ $\left(\mathrm{NH}^{+} / \mathrm{OH}\right), 1665,1620,1585,1560\left(\mathrm{C}=0 / \mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-} / \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\right), 1355$, 1265, 1030, 865 (other) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; UV (EtOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ end absorption, 203 nm ( $\epsilon 61350$ ), 264 (828), 272 (744), 281 (592); mass spectrum, $m / e$ 328, 330, 332. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[trans-2-(Dimethylamino) cyclopentyl]- $\boldsymbol{N}$-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]propanamide (63). Method B. A solution of propionyl chloride ( $2.11 \mathrm{~g}, 22.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ether ( 50 mL ) was added dropwise with ice cooling in 30 min to a solution of trans- $N, N$-dimethyl- $N^{\prime}$-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1,2-cyclopentanediamine ( $3.10 \mathrm{~g}, 11.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine $(2.30 \mathrm{~g}$, 22.0 mmol ) in ether ( 100 mL ). After the solution was stirred overnight, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The organic layer was washed with water and saturated NaCl , dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The crude product was converted to the hydrochloride salt ( $3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ yield): mp $184-185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.9$ (m, 4 H , aromatic), 2.9 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.4-2.3 (m, 8 H , ring $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ), 0.9 ( $\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ); mass spectrum, $m / e$ 328. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ ) C, H, Cl, F, N.
trans-2-(3,4-Dichloroanilino)cyclopentanol (7). A mixture of 3,4-dichloroaniline ( $50.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.31 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and cyclopentene oxide ( 100 mL ) was refluxed for 7 days. The mixture was evaporated and triturated with petroleum ether (5 $\times 250 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) to remove unreacted 3,4-dichloroaniline. The residue was treated with excess ether -HCl , and the crude salt was recrystallized twice from methanol-ether ( $38.7 \mathrm{~g}, 44 \%$ yield): mp $167-168{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.4(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), $7.1(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), $6.8(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), $4.0(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.5(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}), 1.3-2.3\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ring $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; IR $3420(\mathrm{OH}), 2740,2660\left(\mathrm{NH}^{+}\right)$, 1605, 1575, $1470\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right)$; UV (EtOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ end absorption, $211 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 26250), 259(17500), 314$ (2450); mass spectrum, $m / e$ 245, 247, 249. Anal ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$; Cl: calcd, 37.64; found, 37.20 .
trans-2-(3,4-Dichloroanilino)cyclopentyl Hydrogen Sulfate (8). A solution of chlorosulfonic acid ( $12.9 \mathrm{~g}, 0.11 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in methylene chloride ( 50 mL ) was added dropwise without cooling in 15 min to a stirred suspensions of amino alcohol hydrochloride $7(28.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{~mol})$ in methylene chloride ( 200 mL ). After stirring overnight, the mixture was evaporated, and the precipitate was suspended in ether and filtered ( $29.4 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ yield): $\mathrm{mp} 216-218$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR ( $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ ) $\delta 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), $7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), $6.8(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), $4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \mathrm{CH}), 3.8(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH ), $1.4-2.4$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, ring $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); IR $2760,2700,2580,2500\left(\mathrm{NH}^{+}\right)$, $1605(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1265,1210,1035,1020\left(\mathrm{OSO}_{3} \mathrm{H} /\right.$ other $) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; UV ( EtOH ) $\lambda_{\text {max }} 210 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 27650$ ), 258 ( 17200 ), 313 (2400); mass spectrum, $m / e 307,309(\mathrm{P}-18)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$.
trans- $\boldsymbol{N}$-Methyl- $\boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,2-cyclopentanediamine (9). A mixture of sulfate $8(222 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $40 \%$ methylamine $(700 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated in a rocking pressure bomb at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The mixture was extracted with ether, and the extract was washed with saturated NaCl , dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated to a brown oil. Chromatography on silica gel ( 1500 g ), eluting first with ethyl acetate ( 10 mL ) followed by methanol ( 10 L ), and then evaporation of the methanol gave an orange oil ( 159 g ). The hydrochloride salt was prepared by treatment with excess ether- HCl and recrystallization from methanol-ether ( $165 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ yield): mp $185-187^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ ) $\delta$ 6.6-7.4 (m, 3 H, aromatic), 2.7 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.5-2.0 (m, 6 H , ring $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); IR $2760,2680,2600,2480,2420\left(\mathrm{NH}^{+}\right), 1580(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$, 1130, 1035, 975, 925 (other) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; UV (EtOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }} 211 \mathrm{~nm}(6$ 25700 ), 256 (18250), 310 (2300); mass spectrum, $m / e 258,260$, 262. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$.

2,2,2-Trichloroethyl $\boldsymbol{N}$-[trans-2-(3,4-Dichloroanilino)-cyclopentyl]- $\boldsymbol{N}$-methylcarbamate (10). A solution of $2,2,2$ trichloroethyl chloroformate $(6.36 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{~mol})$ in ether $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added in 20 min with cooling to a solution of diamine 9 ( 7.77 $\mathrm{g}, 0.03 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $3.03 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in ether $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$. After the solution was stirred for 2 h at room temperature, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The organic layer was washed with saturated NaCl , dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The hydrochloride salt was prepared by treatment with excess ether- HCl and recrystallized from methanol-ether $(11.3 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ yield); mp 165-170 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.1(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), 6.6 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), $6.4(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), $4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$,
$\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ), 4.3 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 3.65 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.95 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.2-2.4 (m, 6 H , ring $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); IR 2680, 2640, 2520, $2440\left(\mathrm{NH}^{+}\right.$), $1710(\mathrm{C}=0), 1585,1570(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; UV (EtOH) $\lambda_{\max } 211 \mathrm{~nm}$ ( $\epsilon 24100$ ), 259 ( 17250 ), 313 ( 2300 ); mass spectrum, $m / e 432,434$, 436. Loss of HCl on vacuum drying prevented obtaining a satisfactory analysis.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[trans-2-(2,2,2-Trichloroethyl- $\boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$-methylcarbamyl)-cyclopentyl]- $N$-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)propanamide (11). A mixture of carbamate $10(21.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{~mol})$ and propionic anhydride $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated on a steam bath overnight. Water $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and heating continued for 1 h . The mixture was made basic with $15 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ and extracted with ether. The extract was washed with saturated NaCl , dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated to an orange oil ( $24.5 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%$ yield). An analytical sample was obtained by column chromatography: NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 6.8-7.7 (m, 3 H ; aromatic) 4.7 and 4.9 (AB quartet, $2 \mathrm{H}, 0 \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CCl}_{3}$ ), 5.2 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.4(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.5-2.1(\mathrm{~m}, 8$ H , ring $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ), 1.0 (t, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ); IR 1710, $1765(\mathrm{C}=0) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; UV (EtOH) $\lambda_{\max }$ end absorption, $230 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon$ 7200 ), 265 (653), 273 (530), 281 (412); mass spectrum, $m / e 488$, 490, 492. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{Cl}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[trans-2-(Methylamino)cyclopentyl]- $\boldsymbol{N}$-(3,4-dichlorophenyl) propanamide (12). A mixture of carbamate $11(9.8 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.02 mol ) and zinc dust ( $13.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $5 \%$ acetic acid in methanol ( 100 mL ) was stirred without cooling for 3 h . The mixture was filtered through Celite and evaporated. The residue was treated with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(100 \mathrm{~mL}, 5 \mathrm{~N})$ and extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed with saturated NaCl , dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated to an oil. The $p$-toluenesulfonic acid salt was prepared and recrystallized from methanol-ether $(6.8 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ yield): $\mathrm{mp} 176-177^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.0-7.8(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), $4.8(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.3(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 2.3(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ), $1.9\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 1.0-2.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ring $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $0.9\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)$; IR 2950, 2820, $2480\left(\mathrm{NH}^{+}\right), 1676(\mathrm{C}=0)$, $1620,1585,1580,1560,1495(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; UV (EtOH) $\lambda_{\max } 203$ $\mathrm{nm}(\epsilon 48900), 216$ (23100), 262 (585), 268 (526), 272 (502), 281 (385); mass spectrum, $m / e 314,316$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7}$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(trans-2-Hydroxycyclopentyl)- $\boldsymbol{N}$-(3,4-dichlorophenyl) propanamide (13). A mixture of amino alcohol 7 (61.5 $\mathrm{g}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and propionic anhydride ( $130 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was heated on a steam bath for 72 h . Water ( 250 mL ) was added and heating continued for 1 h to decompose excess anhydride. The mixture was cooled in ice, made basic with $40 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$, and extracted with ether. The extract was washed with saturated NaCl , dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The crude amide-ester was dissolved in a solution of $\mathrm{KOH}(17.0 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%, 0.25 \mathrm{~mol})$ in $95 \%$ ethanol ( 250 mL ) and kept at room temperature for 3 h . The solution was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in ether and washed with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and water. Evaporation and recrystallization from ether-petroleum ether gave 13 ( $49.5 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%$ yield): $\mathrm{mp} 105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.5(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), $7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), $7.1(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), $4.6(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.0(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.75$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), $2.05\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 1.3-2.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ring $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.1 (t, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ); IR $3480,3380(\mathrm{OH}), 1670,1655(\mathrm{C}=0)$, 1585, $1560(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1280,1030$ (other) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; UV (EtOH) $\lambda_{\max }$ end absorption, $240 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 4000), 264$ (523), 273 (487), 281 (405); mass spectrum, $m / e 301,303$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(2-Oxocyclopentyl)- $\boldsymbol{N}$-(3,4-dichlorophenyl) propanamide (14). A solution of alcohol $13(60.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{~mol})$ in acetone ( 1000 mL ) was cooled in ice while Jones reagent ( 75 mL of the following solution: 26.8 g of $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}, 23 \mathrm{~mL}$ of concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, dilute to 100 mL with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was added dropwise in 30 min . The mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature, filtered to
remove chromium salts, and evaporated. The residue was taken up in ether, washed with water and saturated NaCl , dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated to a yellow solid ( $59.8 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ yield). Attempts to purify the crude product by recrystallization were unsuccessful, and the product was used without further purification: IR $1750,1660(\mathrm{C}=0) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / e 299,301$, 303.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[cis-2-(Dimethylamino) cyclopentyl]- $\boldsymbol{N}$-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)propanamide (15). A mixture of ketone 14 ( $18.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06$ mol ), dimethylamine ( $46 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.3 \mathrm{M}$ in methanol, 0.24 mol ), dimethylamine hydrochloride $(9.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.12 \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}(2.65$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.042 \mathrm{~mol})$ in methanol ( 250 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 8 days. The mixture was stirred with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}(200$ mL ) until gas evolution ceased. The mirture was evaporated to remove methanol, made basic with $40 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$, and extracted with ether. The extract was washed with saturated NaCl, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated to an oil. The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel ( 150 g ), eluting with $2 \%$ methanol in chloroform in $20-\mathrm{mL}$ fractions. Fractions 11-33 were combined and evaporated ( 4.2 g ). The amide was converted to the oxalic acid salt and recrystallized from methanol-ether $(2.75 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \%$ yield): mp 171-173 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 7.8(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), 7.4 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic), $4.8(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.9(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.9(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.6-2.4 (m, 8 H , ring $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ), $1.0(\mathrm{t}, 3$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)$; $\mathrm{IR} 2660,2460\left(\mathrm{NH}^{+}\right.$, acid OH$), 1670(\mathrm{C}=0), 1590$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{EtOH}) \lambda_{\text {max }}$ end absorption, $230 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 8250)$, 274 (537), 282 (453); mass spectrum, $m / e 299,301$ (P-29). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$.

Pharmacology. The procedures employed utilize drug potentiation of antagonism end points to identify compounds as antidepressant-like. In these tests, groups of four male CF-1 mice were dosed intraperitoneally with suspensions or solutions of the test compounds ( 50 and $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) in $0.25 \%$ aqueous methylcellulose at a volume of $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$.

For the yohimbine potentiation test, ${ }^{4}$ the mice were then injected intraperitoneally 30 min later with $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ yohimbine hydrochloride. They were then placed in a $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ chamber for 1 h . Potentiation of yohimbine was evidenced by death within this period of time.

In the oxotremorine antagonism test, ${ }^{5}$ the mice were treated subcutaneously with $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ oxotremorine 30 min after the test compound was given. After an additional 30 min in a $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ chamber, their intraperitoneal temperatures were measured. Mice with temperatures more than $0.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ above the mean temperature of vehicle-oxotremorine treated controls are scored as displaying oxotremorine antagonism.

In the apomorphine potentiation test, ${ }^{6}$ the mice were treated subcutaneously with $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ apomorphine 1 h after receiving the test compound. They were then observed for 30 min for stereotyped gnawing and licking. Each animal displaying this syndrome was scored as positive.

If three or more animals were positive in any one of the tests, it was repeated at a dose $0.3 \log$ interval lower until one or less response was observed. $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ values and $95 \%$ confidence intervals were calculated by the method of Spearman and Karber. ${ }^{7}$ The data from these tests are listed in Tables I-V.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{a} p$-Toluenesulfonic acid salt. ${ }^{b}$ Oxalic acid salt. ${ }^{c}$ Naphthalenesulfonic acid salt. ${ }^{d}$ Fumaric acid salt. ${ }^{e}$ Maleic acid salt. $f \mathrm{~N}$ : calcd, 7.14 ; found, $7.85 .^{g} \mathrm{~N}$ : calcd, 7.00 ; found, 7.85 . $^{h}$ The upper and lower $95 \%$ confidence intervals were not more than 2 and 0.5 times the $E D_{50}$, respectively.

    The solution was made basic with $20 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ and extracted with ether. The extract was washed with saturated NaCl , dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated to an oil. The crude amide was purified
    by formation of the maleic acid salt ( $3.3 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%$ yield): mp 154-155 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.6$ (m, 1 H , aromatic), 7.5 (m, 1 H , aromatic), 7.3 (m, 1 H , aromatic), 6.3 (s, 2 H , vinyl), 5.1 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 3.8

